

**Table V - Cycling Economy (CE) and efficiency analysis before and after the intervention period**

Study	Test	Used term	Parameter	n	Pre-training	Post-training	Change (%)
Aagaard P et al., 2011	Steady-state four-step incremental cycling	CE	(Watt/kg) / (mLO <sub>2</sub> /min/kg) obtained at 75% of the VO <sub>2max</sub>	Int:7 Cont:7	Int:0.204 ± 0.025mLO <sub>2</sub> /J# Cont: 0.223 ± 0.015mLO <sub>2</sub> /J	Int:0.199 ± 0.014mLO <sub>2</sub> /J Cont: 0.207±0.008mL O <sub>2</sub> /J	-2.45 +7.17*
Jackson NP et al. 2007	Lactate Profile Test	Economy	VO <sub>2</sub> values at fixed loads (300 W)	Int:H-Res 9 Int:H-Rep 9 Cont:5	Int:46.4 ± 62.2 Int: 52.6 ± 2,1	Int:48.3 ± 5.4 Int:49.4 ± 2.2 Cont: 52.7 ± 0,6	+4.09 +2.91 +0.19
Rønnestad BR et al. 2015	ND	Fractional utilization of the VO <sub>2max</sub> at the power of 4 mmol·L <sup>-1</sup> [la-]	ND	Int:9 Cont:7	Int:78 ± 3% Cont: 80 ± 3%	Int:80 ± 3% Cont: 81 ± 6%	+2 +1
Rønnestad BR, Hansen J, Nygaard H, 2017	ND	Fractional utilization of the VO <sub>2max</sub> at the power of 4 mmol·L <sup>-1</sup> [la-]	ND	Int:12 Cont:7	Int:79 ± 3% Cont: 81 ± 4%	Int:80 ± 4% Cont: 83 ± 1%	+1 +2
Sunde A et al. 2010	Incremental protocol of VO <sub>2max</sub>	CE	At power equivalent to 70% of VO <sub>2max</sub>	Int:8 Cont:5	Int:217 ± 26 (W) Cont: 215 ± 57 (W)	Int:232 ± 36 (W) Cont: 216 ± 65 (W)	+6.9* +0.46

Int = intervention group; Cont = control group; ND = Not described; CE= cycling economy; \*Difference between pré-pós